

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/716,028	11/17/2000	Henry B. Lowman	P1123R1D1	7056
75	90 06/03/2002			
Genentech Inc Attn Craig G Svoboda Esq			EXAMINER	
1 DNA Way			EWOLDT, GERALD R	
South San France	cisco, CA 94080-4990			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	10
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/716,028

Applicant(s)

Lowman et al.

Examiner

G.R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644



The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	.				
mailing date of this communication.	.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) \mathbf{X} Responsive to communication(s) filed on \mathbf{M}	1ar 28, 2002				
_	This action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ever Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>32-39 and 41-47</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8) Laims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
	_ is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner				
It approved, corrected drawings are required in	in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in Application No.				
 Gopies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Internation. 	iority documents have been received in this National Stage al Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))				
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not received.				
14) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been received.				
15) 🔼 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
3) Information Directory Section (170-192)					
" [] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

- In view of Applicant's amendment, filed 2/20/02, all
- Claims 34, 36, 45, and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A) Claim 34 does not end with a period and thus, is not a sentence.
- B) in Claim 36, the phrase "and T cell receptor", would more properly be written, "and a T cell receptor".
- C) in Claim 45, the term "antagonists", would more properly be written, "antagonist".
- D) in Claim $\bar{4}6$ "anti-interferon- γ , anti-interferon- β , antiinterferon- α ; anti-tumor necrosis factor- α , anti-tumor necrosis factor- β ; anti-interleukin-2, anti-IL-2 receptor antibody and anti-L3T4", would more properly be written, "an anti-interferon- γ antibody, an anti-interferon- β antibody, an anti-interferon- α antibody; an anti-tumor necrosis factor- α antibody, an anti-tumor necrosis factor- β antibody; an anti-interleukin-2 antibody, an anti-IL-2 receptor antibody, and an anti-L3T4 antibody".

Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. The following are new grounds for rejection.
- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

antibodies comprising anti-IgE antibodies, or IgE binding fragments thereof, comprising SEQ ID NOS:15-20, 22, and 24-25, absent the immunosuppressive agent. The '700 patent teaches the immunosuppressive agents of the instant claims in combination with a different immunosuppressive antibody as adjunct therapeutic agents (see particularly column 9, lines 17-60). "It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose. . . [T] he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) (see MPEP 2144.06). Thus, the combination of the immunosuppressive anti-IgE antibodies, or IgE binding fragments thereof, comprising SEQ ID NOS:15-20, 22, and 24-25, of the instant claims, or the '511 patent, with the immunosuppressive agents of the '700 patent would be obvious.

10. No claim is allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall, telephone number (703) 305-3014.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

May 22, 2002